AD HOC COMMITTEE - ENVISION SILICON VALLEY

Thursday, August 27, 2015
10:00 AM

PLEASE NOTE MEETING LOCATION

VTA Auditorium
3331 North First Street
San Jose, CA

AGENDA

CALL TO ORDER

1. ROLL CALL

2. PUBLIC PRESENTATIONS:

   This portion of the agenda is reserved for persons desiring to address the Committee on any matter not on the agenda. Speakers are limited to 2 minutes. The law does not permit Committee action or extended discussion on any item not on the agenda except under special circumstances. If Committee action is requested, the matter can be placed on a subsequent agenda. All statements that require a response will be referred to staff for reply in writing.

CONSENT AGENDA


REGULAR AGENDA

5. ACTION ITEM - Recommend the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) Board of Directors adopt the Evaluation Criteria for Envision Silicon Valley.

6. INFORMATION ITEM - Receive an update on Community Outreach. (Verbal Report) (Sighamony)
7. **Announcements**

8. **ADJOURN**

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, VTA will make reasonable arrangements to ensure meaningful access to its meetings for persons who have disabilities and for persons with limited English proficiency who need translation and interpretation services. Individuals requiring ADA accommodations should notify the Board Secretary’s Office at least 48-hours prior to the meeting. Individuals requiring language assistance should notify the Board Secretary’s Office at least 72-hours prior to the meeting. The Board Secretary may be contacted at ☎ (408) 321-5680 or ✉️ board.secretary@vta.org or ☎ (408) 321-2330 (TTY only). VTA’s home page is www.vta.org or visit us on ☑️ www.facebook.com/scvta. ☎ (408) 321-2300: 中文/Español/日本語/한국어/tiếng Việt/Tagalog.

All reports for items on the open meeting agenda are available for review in the Board Secretary’s Office, 3331 North First Street, San Jose, California, (408) 321-5680, the Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday prior to the meeting. This information is available on VTA’s website at [http://www.vta.org](http://www.vta.org) and also at the meeting.

3331 N. First St., San Jose, California is served by bus lines *58 and Light Rail. (*58 no midday service) For trip planning information, contact our Customer Service Department at (408) 321-2300 between the hours of 6:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. Monday through Friday and 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. on Saturday. Schedule information is also available on our website, www.vta.org.

**NOTE:** THE COMMITTEE MAY TAKE ACTION ON ANY ITEM IDENTIFIED ON THE AGENDA.
AD HOC COMMITTEE - ENVISION SILICON VALLEY

Monday, April 29, 2015

MINUTES

CALL TO ORDER

The Regular Meeting of the Ad Hoc Committee - Envision Silicon Valley was called to order at 10:17 a.m. by Chairperson Woodward in Conference Room B-104, River Oaks Campus, 3331 North First Street, San Jose, California.

1. ROLL CALL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attendee Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cindy Chavez</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash Kalra</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rich Larsen</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Liccardo</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamie Matthews</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perry Woodward</td>
<td>Chairperson</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A quorum was not present and a Committee of the Whole was declared.

2. Public Presentations

There were no Public Presentations.

The Agenda was taken out of order.

REGULAR AGENDA

6. Potential Metrics for Envision Silicon Valley

Chris Augenstein, Deputy Director, Planning, provided a brief overview of the potential metrics and a presentation, highlighting: 1) Input process; 2) Previously Board-adopted performance measures and evaluation criteria; 3) Initial draft metrics quantitative; 4) Initial draft metrics qualitative; 5) Art and science; and 6) Next steps.

Member Chavez provided the following comments: 1) suggested looking at the jobs created and classify them based on long term, short term, and the type of job; 2) noted the importance of being eligible to compete for Planned Bay Area grants; 3) questioned if staff is looking at projects relative to vehicle miles traveled and positioning for Cap and Trade funds; and 4) suggested adding income equity to the list.

Nuria I. Fernandez, General Manager, noted staff is working closely with cities regarding the economic benefit and jobs created.

Scott Haywood, Transportation Planning Manager and Staff Liaison, indicated the potential metrics are still being formulated and will be presented to the stakeholder group for their input.

Member Kalra provided the following comments: 1) suggested adding additions to the qualitative and quantitative lists regarding pedestrian and bicycle access and the increase...
in ridership and connectivity to the transit network; 2) suggested having better neighborhood integration added to the qualitative list; and 3) expressed the importance of specifically noting the categories.

Member Liccardo arrived at the meeting and took his seat at 10:31 a.m. and a quorum was established.

On order of Chairperson Woodward and there being no objection, the Committee received a report on the potential metrics for Envision Silicon Valley.

CONSENT AGENDA

3. Regular Meeting Minutes of January 12, 2015

Member Chavez suggested outreach in the minutes is detailed noting what was requested and what was completed. Mr. Haywood indicated he has a list and can forward that to the Committee as well.

Member Chavez indicated she may be coming back to the Committee with requests on items that were discussed at January’s meeting.

Mr. Haywood indicated there was a delay in response; however, the information was emailed to the Committee.

M/S/C (Chavez/Kalra) to approve the Regular Meeting Minutes of January 12, 2015.

4. Ad-Hoc Committee - Envision Silicon Valley 2015 Meeting Schedule

M/S/C (Chavez/Kalra) to approve the Ad-Hoc Committee - Envision Silicon Valley 2015 Meeting Schedule.

REGULAR AGENDA (continued)

Agenda Items 5 and 7 were heard together.

5. Final Draft Goals and Principles for Envision Silicon Valley

7. Demonstration of Envision Silicon Valley Microsite

Mr. Haywood provided a brief report on the process of going through the stakeholder group and the advisory committees and continuing to refine the draft goals and principles. He provided a presentation, highlighting: 1) Draft goals and strategies; and 2) Draft Principles.

Mr. Haywood introduced Cody Kraatz, Administrator of Social Media & Electronic Communications, who provided a brief demonstration of the microsite and results of the survey on the site noting several top priorities, which include safety, increasing transit service, regional connectivity, and sticking to the day to day basics. He noted the next step will be the budget tool which will allow people to view the revenue options that are being considered. He indicated a multi-lingual print and multi-channel campaign will be provided to make sure people are aware of the survey.

NOTE: M/S/C MEANS MOTION SECONDED AND CARRIED AND, UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED, THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.
Member Kalra expressed his appreciation for community partners who are out in the community surveying and sharing information. He suggested more outreach to those who are non-riders. He questioned if there is a way elected officials and their staff could access to the materials to provide shortened presentations.

Ms. Fernandez noted outreach will be expanded to deeply penetrate the community, taking advantage of partnerships to assist in getting a true response. She noted information will be provided to the cities and a link to the survey will be placed on their websites.

**Public Comment**

Doug Muirhead, Interested Citizen, questioned how VTA views competitive services from private companies and expressed interest in seeing a version of the draft goals and principles that include strikeouts and underlines to see how input changed the goals.

Roland Lebrun, Interested Citizen, expressed concern with the number of responses and provided suggestions for ways to receive more feedback.

Bob Allen, Urban Habitat, indicated a letter was provided to the Committee with suggestions from a wide range of stakeholders regarding enhancing and improving projects and proposed investment scenarios.

Chris Lepe, Transform, expressed concern with the goal relating to adding roadway capacity. He indicated widening highways will only generate more traffic and suggested investments be made in rail and other public transportation modes instead. He also expressed concern with equity section in the draft principles and suggested having stronger language. Mr. Lepe further commented it is a good opportunity to add complete streets requirements when doing roadway maintenance projects.

Bruce Roberts, Interested Citizen, expressed concern with senior, disabled and low income riders not being heard and suggested there be a workshop for that population. He provided several suggestions to add to principles.

Janet Ruiz, Sacred Heart, noted the importance of having the input of low income riders who depend on transportation and suggested staff listens to what their needs are.

Member Liccardo noted the public is urging staff to stick to the basics. He suggested focusing on increasing ridership particularly amongst those who are transit dependent.

Member Chavez noted a core component should focus on communities that are transit dependent, but they are not acknowledged in the document. She also expressed concern with the principles, goals and strategies questioning what the highest ideas are.

Member Chavez suggested the following: 1) the equity statement be revisited, noting the obligation to serve those that are most in need; 2) organize principles with a statement that explains what is being done and make it quantifiable; 3) having language for grants and language when addressing people; 4) reorganize the way equity is outlined for better understanding; 5) discuss innovation and VTA’s role relative to changes in private sector interest in transportation; and 6) explain why promoting financial stability and expanding revenue sources is needed.
Member Liccardo suggested adding a statement specific to the need to grow to meet public demand.

Member Kalra suggested defining categories noting staff should clearly distinguish the need and desire to grow ridership and the lifeline aspect for riders that have no choice, ensuring that the message gets across.

Ms. Fernandez noted multiple things are being addressed regarding equity and staff will work to resolve any additional issues as well.

Member Chavez expressed appreciation for the amount of communication in the community and the work that has been done. She requested the Board view the recommended outreach strategy as it goes forth.

Mr. Haywood noted staff will be updating the placemat and requested the Committee’s input throughout and recommendation.

**Final Draft Goals and Principles for Envision Silicon Valley**

M/S/C (Chavez/Liccardo) to recommend the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) Board of Directors adopt the Final Draft Goals and Principles for Envision Silicon Valley with integration of feedback and input.

**Demonstration of Envision Silicon Valley Microsite**

On order of Chairperson Woodward and there being no objection, the Committee received a demonstration of Envision Silicon Valley Microsite.

8. **Announcements**

There were no Announcements.

9. **Adjournment**

On order of Chairperson Woodward and there being no objection, the meeting was adjourned at 11:16 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Menominee L. McCarter, Board Assistant
VTA Office of the Board Secretary
CALL TO ORDER

The Regular Meeting of the Ad Hoc Committee - Envision Silicon Valley was called to order at 3:08 p.m. by Vice Chairperson Chavez in Conference Room B-104, River Oaks Campus, 3331 North First Street, San Jose, California.

1. ROLL CALL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attendee Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cindy Chavez</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash Kalra</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rich Larsen</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Liccardo</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamie Matthews</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perry Woodward</td>
<td>Chairperson</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A quorum was present.

2. Public Presentations

There were no Public Presentations.

CONSENT AGENDA

Public Comment

Chris Lepe, Transform, noted the importance of meeting minutes. He commented that the April 29, 2015, minutes should be more detailed and should include the following: 1) his various scenarios for the Committee to consider; and 2) that he is not opposed to investing in rail.

Roland Lebrun, Interested Citizen, expressed support for Mr. Lepe’s statements and suggested staff follows the Caltrain Committee Minutes.

Ms. Fernandez noted that the minutes are not verbatim but summarizes what happened.

Vice Chairperson Chavez requested the Committee defer the item to allow the board assistant to review the April 29, 2015 Committee minutes.

3. (Defer)

Approve the Regular Meeting Minutes of April 29, 2015.
REGULAR AGENDA

4. **Envision Silicon Valley Draft Goals**

Scott Haywood, Transportation Planning Manager and Staff Liaison, provided a brief staff report and distributed a presentation entitled “Envision Silicon Valley,” (ESV) highlighting: 1) Goals and Principles Background; 2) What VTA has heard; 3) What VTA has done; and 4) Future Draft Goals.

**Public Comment**

Marjorie Hart, People Acting in Community Together (PACT) and Transportation Justice Alliance, urged the Committee to adopt programs that would help seniors with transportation options, noting it is important to provide mobility choices and improve the life of seniors.

Bena Chang, Silicon Valley Leadership Group, thanked VTA staff for their hard work and effort during the Silicon Valley process. She noted the importance of economic vitality and requested including a goal that would continue to support Silicon Valley’s economic vitality.

Susan Stuart, Santa Clara County Public Health Department, advocated for health, equity, and the needs of children. She noted the importance of having safe options for children to get to and from school, and requested metrics that would track the areas where transportation is used. Ms. Stuart urged staff to obtain the quantitative data already available that tracks physical activity of adults and children.

Mr. Lepe made the following comments: 1) thanked staff for the modifications to the ESV Goals; and 2) suggested including the following: a) safety for pedestrians and cyclists; b) prioritize areas with high fatality and collision rates; c) the two tier transportation system; d) affordable housing and how it is linked to transit ridership; e) how to create affordable transit for low income areas; and f) reduction of vehicle miles traveled and greenhouse gas emissions.

Jim Orthal, Interim Director of Transportation for City of San Jose, VTA Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), thanked VTA for the ESV Goals and suggested including the following: 1) support for the economic development of the region; and 2) current conditions and maintenance of highways and streets infrastructure.

Bruce Roberts, Interested Citizen, made the following comments: 1) expressed the importance of having transit mobility options for seniors, noting that ParaTransit and Outreach are under resourced; 2) suggested Outreach provide more than one stop per ride; 3) noted that in order to improve the standard of living, there should be more affordable transit for those who are low income and transit dependent.

Charisse Ma Lebron, Director of Health Policy and Community Development, Working Partnerships USA, made the following comments: 1) include equity language in the draft goals; 2) call out and emphasize minorities in low income and transit dependent populations; and 3) noted the importance of supporting affordable housing along transit corridors.
Leticia Perez, RUTU, urged the Committee to provide affordable transportation for the daily riders who depend on VTA. She noted this will help get votes for the potential sales tax measure.

Pascual Hernandez, Interested Citizen, commented about the intercity transfers and hopes that more options could be provided to allow transferring from different public agencies without paying additional fare.

David Zisser, Staff Attorney, Public Advocates and Partners with the Transit Justice Alliance expressed the need to ensure that the draft goals focus on equity. Mr. Zisser noted he is encouraged that VTA wants to improve and have stronger focus on equity, but opined the current goals do not reflect equity. Mr. Zisser also noted the need for projects and programs to provide access to employment areas.

Adina Levin, Friends of Caltrain, made the following comments: 1) thanked VTA for the draft Goals and for requesting feedback from the public; 2) would like the goals to include the coordination of transportation and land use; and 3) suggested finding revenue neutral solutions to the fare systems to help provide better equity.

Omar Chatty, Interested Citizen, advocated for BART around the Bay and expressed concern about the number of Caltrain fatalities. Mr. Chatty commented that the draft Goals need to be realistic versus what looks good and should focus on the following: 1) roads and highways; 2) reducing traffic congestion; and 3) improving transit mobility. Mr. Chatty also noted opposition to the Mountain View Bus Rapid Transit and suggested alternative topics that would help appeal to the public to help pass the potential sales tax measure.

Harriet Wolf, Interested Citizen, commented that transportation investments should improve the quality of life for all individuals. Ms. Wolf hopes that funding programs will be a priority for individuals who do and do not qualify for paratransit. Ms. Wolf would also like to see more transit options for seniors.

Member Matthews made the following comments: 1) discussed the difficulties between balancing farebox return needs and social equity; 2) suggested a goal to bring in jobs that will help the overall economic vitality; 3) noted the importance to serve everyone and not just certain population/communities; 4) commented that social equity issues will always be an area of focus; 5) noted congestion relief should be more specific in the draft goals; and 6) noted the need for better trail connections to encourage more individuals to ride their bike to work.

Vice Chairperson Chavez recommended not voting and allowing the Committee to provide their feedback to staff in order for staff to review and make changes prior to going to the Board in June.

Member Liccardo noted the City of San Jose’s concerns and made the following comments: 1) concurred with member Matthews comments regarding developing a goal for the overall economic vitality; 2) noted several suggestions regarding the ordering of the bullets under each goal; 3) requested changing the final goal on attachment 4.a Draft Goals and Strategies Envision Silicon Valley, to read Improve System Financial Sustainability and Infrastructure Maintenance; 5) would like to see expanding ridership
as a bullet under the second goal of attachment 4.a.; 6) using terms to include low income or under resourced would be better understood by the community; 7) suggested the last goal on the first page of attachment 4.a read “Promote Healthy Communities and Environmental Sustainability;” and 8) list some of VTA’s environmental efforts.

Member Kalra discussed closing the last mile gaps and focusing on safe and efficient connections. Member Kalra commented about the need to be more specific about the proactive steps VTA is taking in reducing gas emissions. He further commented that he would like to see solutions for those who are income transit dependent.

Vice Chairperson Chavez noted the importance of addressing equity so all members of the public are heard. She stated VTA has an important role in economic development and the language should reflect the modern way of thinking about economic development and equity. She agreed with a member of the public that stated “support the coordination of transit and land use.” She further noted the importance of naming the transit options and being clear regarding innovations relative to transit.

Member Matthews commented about the decisions that are being made today are going to affect the future generation.

Vice Chairperson Chavez commented on the importance of balancing transparency and accountability with the public while still allowing VTA staff to be flexible to do the necessary work. She requested staff to release the document early and to redline any additional comments made prior to the June 4, 2015 Board of Directors meeting.

**On order of Vice Chairperson Chavez** and there being no objection, the Committee reviewed the Envision Silicon Valley Draft Goals.

5. **Receive an update on Call for Projects**

Chris Augenstein, Deputy Director of Planning, provided an overview of the staff report noting the schedule.

Ms. Fernandez explained Metropolitan Transportation Commission accelerated their process which has now changed VTA’s schedule.

Mr. Augenstein noted that staff is interested in the very large projects with regional significance. He requested any input regarding projects from the Committee.

Mr. Haywood noted that staff will continue working with the stakeholder groups to receive input.

Upon Vice Chairperson Chavez’s inquiry, Ms. Fernandez noted there is a proposal in place for the on demand services that will be coming to the Committee in the upcoming months.

**Public Comment**

Mr. Lebrun inquired about the process of getting a project through that was suggested by a member of the public or community.
Mr. Augenstein responded that there are guidelines and projects should have an agency sponsor.

Member Liccardo added the proper process would be to contact the elected representative for the city who could then refer it to the city’s Department of Transportation, which will review and take the next steps to push the suggested project forward.

**On Order of Vice Chairperson Chavez** and there being no objection the Committee received an update on Call for Projects

6. **Announcements**

   There were no Announcements.

7. **Adjournment**

   **On order of Chairperson Woodward** and there being no objection, the meeting was adjourned at 4:23 p.m.

   Respectfully submitted,

   Theadora Travers, Board Assistant
   VTA Office of the Board Secretary
BOARD MEMORANDUM

TO: Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority
   Ad Hoc Committee - Envision Silicon Valley

THROUGH: General Manager, Nuria I. Fernandez

FROM: Director of Planning and Program Development, John Ristow

SUBJECT: Envision Silicon Valley Evaluation Criteria

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

BACKGROUND:

Envision Silicon Valley is a process to engage the community and our stakeholders in identifying the transportation needs for Santa Clara County. One of the first tasks in the Envision Silicon Valley process was to develop goals for the program. The goals detail the end result that we wish to achieve with our final list of transportation projects. After extensive community outreach and discussion, the goals were adopted by the VTA Board of Directors at the June 4, 2015 meeting.

DISCUSSION:

To help determine how well each project will meet the board-adopted goals, staff worked with our advisory committees and stakeholders in developing a list of evaluation criteria. Each transportation project submitted for consideration will be analyzed with the evaluation criteria to help measure how each project compares against the board-adopted goals.

The evaluation criteria (Attachment A) were developed through an iterative process with VTA’s advisory committees, stakeholder groups and community members over the past several months. Below is a summary of the process:

Fall 2014 - Stakeholder groups were convened based on geography and common transportation interests. Input on the creation of these groups was sought from elected officials, stakeholders and community members. Their subsequent meetings were widely publicized and open to the public.

February 2015 - Stakeholder groups and VTA’s advisory committees received presentations
introducing the need for evaluation criteria and provided their initial input.

April 2015 - Stakeholder groups and advisory committees received an update and presented their feedback. Members were also presented with an initial list of possible evaluation criteria based on the previous meetings.

April 2015 - The Ad-hoc Committee on Envision Silicon Valley, a subcommittee of the Board of Directors, received a presentation on the evaluation criteria, community reaction and provided their feedback.

June 2015 - Stakeholder groups participated in a group exercise where they grouped potential evaluation criteria with the board-adopted goals.

June 2105 - The Advisory Committees received an update on the process at their regular June meetings.

July 2015 - The Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) sub-committee on Envision Silicon Valley discussed the evaluation criteria and provided their input.

July 2015 - Stakeholder groups participated in a second group exercise, where they further refined the work they completed in June.

August 2015 - The advisory committees received an update on the evaluation criteria and the work of the stakeholder groups.

Additionally, staff received feedback from community members and other interested parties through VTA’s Envision Silicon Valley microsite. The proposed evaluation criteria are a reflection of the input and vision expressed by the community through our extensive outreach process.

Once the draft evaluation criteria are adopted by the Board, staff will begin analyzing the projects submitted to VTA during the recent Call for Projects. Ultimately, this analysis will assist the community and policy makers in determining which transportation projects should be advanced. Realizing the need for projects far outstrips available funding, this analysis will help guide the Board in creating strategies to deliver the most crucial projects through a combination of existing sales tax, potential future sales tax, grants (both state and federal) and public private partnerships.
**ALTERNATIVES:**

The Board could modify the proposed evaluation criteria or reject them entirely in order to propose other criteria.

Prepared By: John Sighamony
Memo No. 5155
# Draft Evaluation Criteria
## Envision Silicon Valley

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals and Strategies</th>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enhance Safety</strong></td>
<td>Collision History</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Prioritize projects that address safety concerns.</td>
<td>Safety &amp; Security Improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• All projects will result in a safe, reliable and comfortable transportation system.</td>
<td>Pavement Condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provide Congestion Relief and Improve Transportation Efficiency</strong></td>
<td>Gap Closures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Improve regional connectivity and seamless travel.</td>
<td>Travel Reliability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Improve transit service and travel times to meet demand.</td>
<td>Vehicle Miles Traveled Per Capita</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Relieve roadway, highway and expressway bottle necks and minimize traffic in residential neighborhoods.</td>
<td>Vehicle Hours Traveled Per Capita</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expand Transportation Choices and Improve Travel Experience</strong></td>
<td>Mode Split</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Use technology to improve the transportation experience.</td>
<td>Pedestrian Realm Enhancement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide amenities to attract transit riders.</td>
<td>Increase Alternative Transportation Modes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Deliver high quality bicycle and pedestrian projects that close gaps, provide trail connections and promote countywide connectivity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• All transit and roadway projects should take into consideration geographic equity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expand Transit Ridership and Continue to Promote Quality Transit for Everyone – Including Low-income Areas</strong></td>
<td>Access for Seniors, Low-Income Individuals, People with Disabilities, &amp; Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Prioritize transit programs and projects for commuters, students, seniors, people with disabilities, economically disadvantaged and all who choose to use public transit.</td>
<td>Access to Existing/Planned Dense Residential, Jobs, Mixed-Use Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Support equitable development by promoting transit-oriented development that includes affordable housing and prevents displacement.</td>
<td>Number of Jobs Reached by Transit in 30 Minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ridership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of Services Accessible by Transit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Actively Promote Healthy Communities, Environmental Sustainability and Plan for the Next Generation | Air Quality  
GHG emissions  
Reduction of PM 2.5 and TACS  
Facilitates Active Transportation Modes |
|---|---|
| - Projects will promote healthy communities and a high quality of life especially in communities marked by poor health outcomes.  
- Projects should help minimize Green House Gas Emissions and Vehicle Miles Traveled. | |
| Improve System Financial Sustainability and Maintenance | Project Shortfalls  
Provides Local Match  
Fare Box Recovery  
Public/Private Partnerships  
Encourages Automated Systems  
Benefit-Cost Assessment  
Economic Benefit |
| - Increase maintenance funding for roadways, sidewalks, bike lanes, pedestrian paths and transit stops.  
- Leverage new revenues with other funding sources to attract private investment and outside grant funds.  
- Strategically apply new local fund sources to underfunded project categories.  
- All projects and programs must have high levels of support from the residents of Santa Clara County. | |
| Continue to Support Silicon Valley’s Economic Vitality | Increases to Productivity  
Improve Goods Movement  
Accessibility to Job Centers  
Serve Planned Growth Areas  
Jobs Created |
| - Projects should stimulate economic development, encourage investment and provide employment opportunities including disadvantaged communities.  
- Ensure land-use decisions are consistent with transportation project priorities.  
- Projects should benefit economic vitality and sustainability of Silicon Valley to the benefit of all residents and businesses. | |

Last Revised 8/12/2015